	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	09/677,805	BEDINGHAM ET AL.
Examiner-initiated lifterview Summa	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian R. Gordon	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Brian R. Gordon.	(3)	
(2) <u>Kevin W. Raasch</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Xes ☐ Yes ☐ New Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Claims of the amendment filed January 20, 2004.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE A message was left for Mr. Raasch informing him that the considered by the examiner		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief state of the provide and the provide</li></ul>	on. The examiner will provide a wr parate record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	09/677,805	BEDINGHAM E	ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summi	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian R. Gordon	1743	
All Participants:	Status of App	lication:	
(1) <u>Brian R. Gordon</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Kevin W. Raasch</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 February 2004	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		e)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
•			
Claims discussed:			
6,13,16,20 and 44			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF	WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	tion. The examiner will pro eparate record of the subs	ovide a written summary of tance of the interview, since	the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	.pplicant/Applicant's Repre	sentative Signature – if app	ropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner pointed out to applicant that the drafted claims were subject to 112 issues. In an effort to expedite the allowance of the claims the examiner suggest a proposed amendment to overcome the existing 112 issues and presented applicant with the opportunity to make an proposed amendments in the event that applicant did not agree with the amendment proposed by the examiner. Applicant refused to accept the proposed amendment suggested by the examiner and declined to make any suggested amendments to overcome the 112 issues..